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ABSTRACT

This paper presents the progress of an attempt to identify wheel/rail contact geometry parameters related to vehicle behaviour. A so called “nonlinearity parameter” has recently been proposed with the aim to extend the commonly used characterisation of wheel/rail contact geometry by one equivalent conicity value. New investigations on a large amount of measured wheel and rail profiles show the typical alteration of the contact geometry parameters due to wear. The equivalent conicity increases while the nonlinearity parameter decreases with increasing vehicle mileage. This phenomenon can be explained by an increase of the contact conformity with wear. A new parameter for assessing the concentration of contact points during lateral wheelset displacement and thus indicating the wear spreading is proposed. The new parameters can support the assessment of contact geometry wheelset/track, the selection of wheel and rail profiles in simulations as well as the development of new wheel profiles.
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1 INTRODUCTION

This paper presents new findings regarding the wheel/rail contact geometry parameters related to dynamic behaviour of railway vehicles as well as regarding their development due to wear with increasing running distance. Ref. [1] by one of the authors proposed to extend the commonly used contact geometry characterisation based on the equivalent conicity calculated for a wheelset displacement amplitude of 3 mm by a second parameter called nonlinearity parameter (NP). However, no indication about the values of this parameter in service and its alteration due to wear of wheels and rails were presented. This paper describes recent investigations on a large amount of measured wheel and rail profiles and shows the typical development of the contact geometry parameters, in particular NP, due to wear. A hypothesis explaining the observed relationship in regard to the spreading of the contact between wheel and rail is presented and a new parameter for assessing the concentration of contact points is proposed. Suggestions for the usage of the newly proposed contact geometry parameters are presented.
2 WHEEL/RAIL CONTACT GEOMETRY PARAMETERS IN REGARD TO RUNNING DYNAMICS

Equivalent conicity [2] is traditionally used to assess the wheel/rail contact geometry in the railway practice and is widely recognised as a useful parameter in regard to running stability. However, the equivalent conicity as a linearised parameter does not consider the nonlinearity of wheel/rail contact geometry. One value of equivalent conicity is usually used to characterise to contact geometry wheelset/track: the equivalent conicity value for a wheelset displacement amplitude of 3 mm. However, the same value of equivalent conicity for a wheelset displacement amplitude of 3 mm can be represented by large number of very different contact geometries, see Figure 1. The deviations of equivalent conicity curves displayed in Figure 1 are related to the nonlinearities of the contact geometry wheelset/track. It is obvious that the vehicle behaviour will not only depend on the equivalent conicity value for a particular wheelset displacement amplitude, but on the overall properties of the contact geometry wheelset/track, thus also on the overall shape of the equivalent conicity function for a range of wheelset displacements inside of the clearance between wheelset and track (i.e. before flange contact).

Figure 1: An example of equivalent conicity functions determined from a set of contact geometries wheelset/track with the same equivalent conicity value for a wheelset displacement amplitude of 3 mm.

This was demonstrated in [1] as well as in [3], [4] and [5] analysing the vehicle behaviour at the stability limit. Figure 2 illustrates these differences on so called bifurcation diagrams, which display the amplitude of the wheelset displacement when moving on ideal straight track geometry without irregularities. Using the wheel/rail contact geometry A, a limit cycle oscillation with large amplitude up to the flange contact can be seen starting from a certain speed which is called critical speed. This oscillation can often lead to exceedance of the safety limit according to standards for vehicle acceptance. Moreover, as a consequence of the contact nonlinearity, there is a speed range at which the vehicle can run at the same conicity either stable or in a limit cycle (co-existence of 2 solutions). On the other hand, using the contact geometry B, there is always only one solution. The limit cycle appears first with small amplitude at a significantly lower speed and the wheelset amplitude increases with increasing speed.
The newly proposed nonlinearity parameter \( NP \) [1] describes the presented property of the contact geometry wheelset/track. It is defined as the slope of the conicity function between the conicity value \( \lambda_2 \) for the wheelset displacement amplitude of 2 mm and conicity value \( \lambda_4 \) for the wheelset displacement amplitude of 4 mm:

\[
NP = \frac{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2}{2}
\]  

If the vehicle system does not contain significant nonlinearities in vehicle suspension like friction components or play in a wheelset guidance, which is usually fulfilled for modern railway rolling stock, the vehicle behaviour is predominantly influenced by the nonlinearities of the wheel/rail contact. This effect of contact geometry wheelset/track on the vehicle behaviour is illustrated in Figure 3 using two wheel/rail contact geometries with the same equivalent conicity for a wheelset displacement amplitude of 3 mm but different values of the nonlinearity parameter: a positive \( NP \) at contact geometry A and a negative \( NP \) at contact geometry B. The effect of \( NP \) on the vehicle behaviour can be described as follows:

- A positive \( NP \) results in a sudden change between the stable run and the limit cycle oscillations. This expresses itself in so called subcritical bifurcation (Type A in the right diagram in Figure 3). Once the limit oscillation starts, it achieves large amplitudes. Moreover, two solutions co-exist in the speed range of the unstable saddle-cycle attractor displayed as dotted line in the bifurcation diagram Type A: a stable solution without oscillations and a limit cycle.
• A negative $NP$ results in a gradual change of vehicle behaviour at the stability limit. The limit cycle starts at lower speed than in the previous case. The oscillation starts with small amplitude which increases with increasing speed; the bifurcation behaviour is supercritical (Type B in the right diagram in Figure 3).

Figure 3: Rolling radius difference functions and the corresponding equivalent conicity functions for wheel/rail contact geometries with the same equivalent conicity for a wheelset displacement amplitude of 3 mm. Effect of $NP$ on the bifurcation diagram displaying the amplitude of the limit cycle of wheelset oscillation.

The described phenomenon has not only impact on the stability assessment, but influences also the vehicle’s behaviour at speeds below the critical speed in simulations using measured track irregularities. The contact geometries wheelset/track with negative value of $NP$ lead to higher lateral forces wheelset/track and larger lateral oscillations as demonstrated in [1] and confirmed in [6].

3 ALTERATION OF WHEEL/RAIL CONTACT GEOMETRY PARAMETERS WITH WEAR

The evaluation of several thousands of measured wheel profiles [7] allowed for an identification of the relationship between the typical alteration of wheel/rail contact geometry due to wheel wear with vehicle mileage and the proposed characteristic parameters. The evaluation of measured wheel profiles combined with nominal rail profiles shows that the equivalent conicity increases while $NP$ decreases with increasing vehicle running distance as it is illustrated on the example of German high speed trains ICE 3 in Figure 4. The diagrams present the measurements from 9030 wheelsets conducted in 2003. The calculation of equivalent conicity is carried out combining the measured wheel profiles with nominal track conditions, i.e. track gauge 1435 mm and rail profile 60E1 [8] (formerly UIC 60) with the inclination 1:40 which were used at that time as nominal conditions on the most German lines. An increase of the equivalent conicity due to wear with increasing vehicle mileage is provided with a decrease of $NP$, so that the contact geometry of worn wheel profiles running on new rail profiles is characterised by a negative $NP$ value of -0.04 ÷ -0.03.
A multi-national survey of wheel/rail contact geometry conducted in some European countries in the framework of the EU funded research project Dynotrain [7] demonstrated that the increase of equivalent conicity together with the decrease of $NP$ can be observed also in the evaluations combining worn rail and corresponding worn wheel profiles (worn in networks with the same nominal rail inclination). The examples in Figure 5 displaying the profile analysis in two speed categories (120-160 km/h and 160-230 km/h) demonstrate that $NP$ in average decreases with increasing equivalent conicity. Linear regression is applied to describe the relationship between the equivalent conicity and $NP$ due to wheel wear with increasing mileage

$$NP = NP_0 - k\lambda$$

(2)

with $\lambda$ – equivalent conicity
$k$ – regression coefficient
$NP_0$ – initial NP value (new profile).

The linear regression provides negative regression coefficient $k$ with values between -0.11 and -0.04. Although the spread of values presented in the diagrams is huge so that the regression lines might have little meaning, the analyses demonstrate the same tendency occurring in all countries and speed categories. The evaluation shows that the basic relationship between wear, equivalent conicity and $NP$ as observed on wheels is valid also for the combination of wear on both wheels and rails.
Figure 5: Relationship between $NP$ and equivalent conicity evaluated using worn wheel and worn rail profiles in different European countries and speed categories.

4 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WHEEL/RAIL CONTACT GEOMETRY PARAMETERS AND CONTACT SPREADING

4.1 Analysis of measured wheel profiles of a German high speed train

The described phenomenon can be explained by an increase of contact conformity with running distance as illustrated in Figure 6. With increasing conformity, the contact between wheel and rail is spread more widely across the wheel and rail profiles. This leads to large changes of the rolling radii at very small wheelset displacements around the nominal position resulting in increasing equivalent conicity and decreasing $NP$. Another consequence of the conformal contact is that the wheel profile remains form stable because wear is more widely spread over the tread area.

To confirm this hypothesis, a set of measured wheel profiles of a German ICE 2 high speed train was evaluated in detail. The wheel profile data used for this evaluation were sampled systematically during a test of different wheel profile designs in 1998. The contact geometry analyses performed by means of the computer code RsGeo [9] provide the horizontal coordinate $y_C$ of the contact point on the wheel profile in function of the lateral wheelset displacement $y_{WS}$. The presented investigations were carried out using elastic contact of wheel and rail, resulting in a contact patch. The term “contact point” is used here for the point of the geometric centre of the wheel/rail contact patch. In case of more than one contact patch, the main contact with the largest contact patch area is used for the evaluation.

Figures 7 - 9 present examples of evaluation results for one wheelset of the dining car which was equipped with the
reference wheel profile S1002 with reduced flange thickness resulting in a nominal distance of active faces of 1423 mm. The wheel profiles were measured starting from newly turned profiles up to the running distance of 239,000 km. Figure 7 shows the alteration of the difference of rolling radii and the equivalent conicity functions with vehicle’s mileage for the selected ICE 2 wheelset evaluated in combination with nominal rail profile 60E1 with inclination 1:40. One can see that the slope of the difference of rolling radii around the centred position increased with running distance and the equivalent conicity function changed its shape from Type A (with a positive NP) to Type B (negative NP).

Figure 6: Schematic explanation of the relationship between the contact spreading and the wheel wear on predominantly straight tracks.

Figure 7: Measured ICE 2 wheel profiles evaluated in combination with nominal rail profile 60E1 1:40 and track gauge 1435 mm. Alteration of the functions difference of rolling radii and equivalent conicity with vehicle’s mileage.
Figure 8 shows an analysis of the contact point location. The left diagram in Figure 8 displays the contact point movement $dy_C$ over the lateral wheelset displacement $y_{WS}$. The contact point movement represents the derivation of the function of contact position on the wheel profile $y_C = f(y_{WS})$. It is calculated here numerically as the shift of the contact point position $\Delta y_C(y_{WS})$ related to the change of lateral wheelset displacement $\Delta y_{WS}$

$$dy_C(y_{WS}) = \frac{\Delta y_C(y_{WS})}{\Delta y_{WS}} = \frac{y_C(y_{WS} + \Delta y_{WS}) - y_C(y_{WS})}{\Delta y_{WS}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The middle diagram in Figure 8 presents the so called contact bandwidth change rate as proposed in [10]. This parameter is defined assuming a lateral wheelset movement with the amplitude $A_{WS}$. The distance between the contact point location for the wheelset displacement $y_{WS} = -A_{WS}$ (to the left) and the contact point position for $y_{WS} = A_{WS}$ (to the right) is defined as contact bandwidth $L_W$

$$L_W(A_{WS}) = y_C(-A_{WS}) - y_C(A_{WS})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The ratio of the contact bandwidth and the respective lateral wheelset displacement is defined as contact bandwidth change rate $dL_W$

$$dL_W(A_{WS}) = \frac{L_W}{2A_{WS}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The right diagram in Figure 8 shows a new parameter called contact concentration. This parameter characterises the frequency of the contact point occurrence across the wheel profile and provides an indication of wear distribution in dependence on the lateral wheelset displacement. The determination of this parameter is based on the following assumptions:

- straight track with stochastic track irregularities,
- stochastic lateral wheelset displacement with normal distribution with standard deviation $\sigma$ (here $\sigma = 2.5$ mm selected).

It is assumed that the local wear of wheels and rails is related to the local frequency of contact point occurrence because wear is higher in the area with a more frequent contact occurrence as presented in [11]. Moreover, a wide spread (i.e. low concentration) of the contact points between wheel and rail is usually correlated with conformal contact and thus larger contact patch size, lower normal stress and consequently lower wear and vice versa, supporting the assumption that wear is proportional to the concentration of the contact point occurrence.

The parameter contact concentration is defined as a reciprocal value of the contact point movement $dy_C$, multiplied with the respective percentile $p_{y_{WS}}(y_{WS})$ of the wheelset displacement occurrence

$$c_C(y_{WS}) = \frac{p_{y_{WS}}(y_{WS})}{dy_C(y_{WS})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
Figure 8: Diagrams of contact point movement (according to Equation 3), contact bandwidth change rate (according to Equation 5) and contact concentration (according to Equation 6) of measured ICE 2 wheel profiles (left wheel), evaluated in combination with nominal rail profile 60E1 1:40.

The evaluation results illustrated exemplarily in Figure 8 confirm the hypothesis about the relationship between contact geometry parameters, contact spreading and wear. It can be seen, that the contact point movement increases with wear (left diagram in Figure 8). The largest contact point movement occurring at new wheel profiles for wheelset displacements between 1 and 2 mm is approximately doubled at worn wheel profiles and shifted closer to the centred wheelset position, with a peak at wheelset displacements between -1 and 0 mm. A contact point movement increasing with wear results in a wider spread of wear in rolling contact. This is indicated by the increase of contact bandwidth change rate with wear, particularly for small wheelset displacement amplitudes just after re-profiling, see middle diagram in Figure 8. The wider spreading of contact points at worn wheels is documented in the right diagram of Figure 8 by decreasing contact concentration.

To characterise the average contact concentration properties of the respective combination of wheel and rail profiles by one value, a new parameter contact concentration index (CCI) is introduced, calculated by averaging the contact concentration \( c_C(y_{WS}) \) over the normal distribution between -3\( \sigma \) and 3\( \sigma \) of lateral wheelset displacement with the selected standard deviation \( \sigma \)

\[
CCl = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{y_{WS}}(y_{WS,i}) \frac{dy_C(y_{WS})}{dy_C(y_{WS,i})}
\] (7)

with \( n \) – number of distribution classes.

The contact concentration index \( CCI \) indicates the concentration of contacts and thus the concentration of wear for the respective combination of wheel and rail profiles by one value, however, without any quantitative information about the level of wear. The \( CCI \)-value according to Equation (7) characterises the contact geometry of one wheel/rail pair (either left or right wheel). The \( CCI \)-value representing an unsymmetrical contact geometry wheelset/track is calculated as an average value of \( CCI \) for left and right wheel.

Figure 9 presents the development of equivalent conicity, \( NP \) and \( CCI \) for the measured ICE 2 wheel profiles of the wheelset used in the evaluation discussed above. The diagrams demonstrate similar evolution of the contact geometry parameters as in the previous results displayed in Figures 4 and 5. The equivalent conicity increases while \( NP \) decreases. The equivalent conicity is indirectly proportional to \( CCI \). This confirms the presented hypothesis that the conicity increase is accompanied with wider contact spreading, i.e. lower contact concentration. It moreover demonstrates that \( CCI \) is a useful parameter representing the level of contact conformity.
Figure 9: Relationships between equivalent conicity, nonlinearity parameter ($NP$) and contact concentration index ($CCI$) of measured ICE 2 wheel profiles with the vehicle’s running distance (evaluated in combination with nominal rail profile 60E1 1:40 and track gauge 1435 mm).

4.2 Comparison with other publications

Recent works published by other authors confirm the presented findings. The development of equivalent conicity with mileage of high speed vehicles in China is presented in [12], [13] and [14]. Figure 10 shows the alteration of equivalent conicity with mileage of high speed vehicles in China with wheel design profile LMA [12]. The equivalent conicity increases rapidly during the first period of 50,000 km running distance. The originally small lateral contact bandwidth increases during this initial period as can be seen in Figure 10c. The increase of the contact spreading is accompanied with a gradual increase of the equivalent conicity for a wheelset displacement amplitude of 3 mm, together with a decrease of $NP$. The dependency of $NP$ on the equivalent conicity (Figure 10b) yields to a linear regression coefficient $k$ according Equation (2) of -0.31, with $NP$ value of -0.08 at the equivalent conicity of 0.3. This is the same trend as demonstrated on ICE high speed trains in Germany but with an even lower value of $NP$ for worn wheels.

The presented trend is independent of the shape of the initial wheel profile as can be demonstrated comparing the previous results with the results shown in [13] and [14] displaying the wheel wear of high speed trains in China using the wheel profile S1002CN as initial profile. Figure 11 presents the alteration of equivalent conicity for a wheelset displacement amplitude of 3 mm with mileage of high speed vehicles equipped with this wheel profile. Also here the equivalent conicity increases with running distance but the conicity increase is rather monotonous, slightly declining. This corresponds to a rather monotonous increase of the contact bandwidth with vehicle’s mileage as shown in Figure
The equivalent conicity value of newly turned wheels is 0.17, increasing to 0.33 at the running distance of 206,000 km. The $NP$ value is slightly below zero for new wheel profile and further decreases due to increase of the contact spreading down to -0.06 at the running distance of 206,000 km. Again the trend is the same as shown in previous analyses with a linear regression coefficient $k$ according Equation (2) of -0.23.

Another confirmation of the observed phenomena can be found in [15] presenting the typical worn wheel profiles measured on Italian high speed vehicles (nominal wheel profile S1002 on rail 60E1 with inclination 1:20). The equivalent conicity of those measured worn profiles lays between 0.3 ÷ 0.4 and $NP$ reaches values of about -0.07, again confirming the previously described observations.

To sum up, all compared investigations of the alteration of contact geometry parameters of high speed vehicles in service on predominantly straight tracks show the same trend which is nearly independent on the initial wheel profile, vehicle design and infrastructure parameters. The alteration of wheel profiles due to wear results in

- equivalent conicity increasing with running distance,
- $NP$ decreasing with increasing equivalent conicity.

This phenomenon is related to the increase of the contact bandwidth and the conformity of wheel/rail contact with increasing running distance due to tread wear accompanied by increasing contact spreading. A modification of the design wheel profile can change the alteration of equivalent conicity and $NP$ during the initial running distance, but the alteration with higher mileage is similar and rather independent of the initial wheel profile.

Figure 10: Alteration of contact geometry wheelset/track with mileage on high speed vehicles with LMA wheel profile in China (from [12]):

a) Change of equivalent conicity function with mileage,

b) $NP$ over equivalent conicity,

c) Change of contact band between wheel and rail with mileage.
4.3 Comparison of design wheel profiles

The presented contact geometry parameters can be used to assess proposals for new design wheel profiles. The design of new profile shapes can be based on various approaches and targets, see e.g. [11], [16], [17], [18], [19] and [20]. However, the shape of the wheel profile will change with wear. Our investigations as well as literature research show that the alteration of the wheel profile shape in service will be reduced using a wheel/rail contact geometry with high conformity and thus large contact spreading. A comparison of so called “wear adapted profiles” with other design profiles supports this statement.

The following “wear adapted profiles” are evaluated:

- Wheel profile EN 13715 - S1002 / h28 / e32.5 / 6.7% [21], well known as S1002, was proposed by the expert committee ORE S1002 in 1973. Although the committee considered experience from different European countries, the tread shape of the S1002 wheel profile is very close to the wear adapted profile developed in Germany based on experience with vehicles in service on tracks with rail inclination 1:40.
- Wheel profile P8 BR – introduced in UK, developed based on experience with vehicles in UK in service on tracks with nominal rail BS 113A and rail inclination 1:20.
Other compared wheel profiles are:

- Wheel profile EN 13715 - 1/40 / h28 / e32.5 / 15% [21] – conical wheel profile with tread inclination 1:40, in combination with rail 60E1 installed with inclination 1:20 (Remark: Rail inclination has no influence on the contact geometry in case of conical wheel profiles).
- Wheel profile EN 13715 - S1002 / h28 / e32.5 / 6.7% [21] in combination with rail 60E1 installed with inclination 1:20 – this combination is used in some countries, but is known as not form stable; the wheel profile shape changes after rather small mileage.
- Wheel profile EN 13715 – EPS / h28 / e32.5 / 10% [21] – wheel profile with the tread shape identical to P8 BR but with flange thickness of 32.5 mm instead of 30 mm; here in combination with rail 60E1 with inclination 1:40.

Figure 12 shows the contact point movement and the contact bandwidth change rate of the compared design wheel/rail profile combinations. Only the wear adapted profiles show large contact point movement and a high contact bandwidth change rate. It is interesting to see that the contact bandwidth change rate curves for both examples of wear adapted profiles are nearby identical in spite of different shapes of these wheel and rail profiles as well as different rail inclinations. The new parameter $CCI$ of the wear adapted profiles is much lower than that of the conical wheel profile and of the wheel profile S1002 combined with the rail 60E1 inclined by 1:20.

The wheel profile EPS used in this comparison possesses the same shape of the tread area as the profile P8 BR but a different flange thickness. In combination with the rail profile 60E1 and rail inclination 1:40 the performance of this wheel profile is in between the “wear adapted profiles” and the conical profile. The contact bandwidth change rate is in this case slightly higher than that of the conical profile.

This experience and the proposed contact geometry parameters can be used to assess newly developed design wheel profiles. The profile will be form stable, i.e. the tread part of the wheel profile will keep its shape, if the wheel/rail profile combination provides a large contact bandwidth change rate (approximately above 4 for wheelset amplitudes lower than 3 mm) and a small $CCI$. Otherwise the profile shape will change after a rather short running distance.

Figure 12: Contact point movement (left), contact bandwidth change rate (middle) and contact concentration index (right) of the selected wheel/rail profile combinations (track gauge always set to 1435 mm).
5 CONCLUSIONS

The equivalent conicity is commonly used to assess the wheel/rail contact geometry. As it is a linearised parameter, it is a severe simplification. One conicity value can represent an infinite set of wheel and rail profiles. This paper presents new parameters proposed to support the contact geometry assessment. Furthermore, it provides insight into the relationship between the equivalent conicity, the proposed contact geometry parameters and the profile alteration due to wear with increasing mileage.

The nonlinearity parameter \( NP \) proposed in [1] extends the characterisation of wheel/rail contact geometry using the equivalent conicity for a wheelset displacement amplitude of 3 mm by an auxiliary information related to vehicle dynamic behaviour as well as profile wear condition. This parameter can be applied for

- assessment of profile measurements with the aim to improve the characterisation of wheel/rail contact geometry in regard to the vehicle dynamic behaviour,
- use of profile combinations in simulations to allow better selection of wheel and rail profiles intended to be used as representative contact geometries and
- design of new wheel profiles because it provides an indication of profile shape stability: profile combinations with negative \( NP \) can be expected to keep their form longer than those with positive \( NP \).

Starting with a new wheel profile, the equivalent conicity usually at first increases while \( NP \) decreases with increasing mileage. This increase of equivalent conicity is provided by increasing conformity and larger contact spreading. Consequently, the wheel profile shape gets more form stable at high mileage, and the conicity and \( NP \) remain nearly constant.

A new parameter called contact concentration index \((CCI)\) is proposed to assess the contact conformity. It is applied here in the evaluations of measured wheel profiles as well as of design wheel profiles together with the contact bandwidth change rate proposed in [10]. Both parameters are related to the development of the equivalent conicity due to wear. The profile combinations with high contact bandwidth change rates and small \( CCI \) will be more form stable, i.e. the tread part of the wheel profile will keep its shape. These parameters can thus be used to assess new proposals for wheel and rail profiles regarding their wear in service.

A large flange wear on curvy lines may certainly change the profile shapes and also the characteristic wheel/rail contact geometry parameters in a different manner than explained in this paper. Such cases, however, represent nowadays a rather small percentage of railway service because of increasing number of high speed lines with predominantly straight tracks. This stresses the importance of the presented results for railway practice.
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